

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/744,000	RABIE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MOHAMED WASEL	2454	

All Participants:

(1) MOHAMED WASEL.

(2) JOHN C. GORECKI.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 4 May 2009

Time: 3:30 PM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 13, 20 & 32

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nathan J. Flynn/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2454
 /M. W./
 Examiner, Art Unit 2454

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative Mr. Gorecki was contacted on May 4, 2009 to discuss a proposed amendment presented by the Examiner to further clarify the claimed invention and discuss allowable subject matter. Mr. Gorecki was very cooperative and agreed to the Examiner's proposed amendment-See Examiner's Amendment for further details.